1 2 3	VICTORIA K. HALL (SBN 240702) LAW OFFICE OF VICTORIA K. HALL 401 N. Washington St. Suite 550 Rockville MD 20850 Victoria@vkhall-law.com Telephone: 301-738-7677	
4	Facsimile: 240-536-9142	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff ROBERT JACOBSEN	
6	ROBERT VITOOBOET	
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13	ROBERT JACOBSEN,	No. C-06-1905-JSW
14	Plaintiff,	OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF
15	v.	R. SCOTT JERGER
16	MATTHEW KATZER, et al.,	Courtroom: 2, 17th Floor Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White
17	Defendants.) Judge. Holl. Jeffiey 5. White)
18		
19		
20		
21	Plaintiff Robert Jacobsen objects to the Declaration of R. Scott Jerger for attorneys fees fo	
22	the following reasons.	
23	Plaintiff chicate to the declaration for atte	rnays' face because plaintiff believes the amoun
24	Plaintiff objects to the declaration for attorneys' fees because plaintiff believes the amount is excessive, and because Mr. Jerger has not provided substantial evidence for his attorney feetition. The amount Mr. Jerger now claims is nearly three times what he claimed after first filing the claim of the control of the c	
25		
26		
27	the enti CLADD motion and meanly trying what h	a initially actimated the total to be Diet 14. The
28	the anti-SLAPP motion, and nearly twice what h total estimate included an assumption that Mr. J.	·

Case 3:06-cv-01905-JSW Document 94 Filed 09/07/2006 Page 2 of 2

hearing, and would have separate expenses and fees as a result. Because the motion was combined with a motion to dismiss and the initial case management conference, Mr. Jerger had to travel to San Francisco and be present in court anyway. Thus, the total should not include any travel or court time, except that court time needed to argue the anti-SLAPP motion. Lafayette Morehouse, Inc. v. Chronicle Publ'g Co., 39 Cal. App. 4th 1379, 1383 (Cal. App. 1995). For this reason, plaintiff believes the amount claimed to be excessive, which is a basis for reducing the amount. Hensley v. Eckerhart, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983). In an attempt to resolve the matter, plaintiff made a request for back-up information/data that serves as a basis for the declaration. Plaintiff is entitled to that data under the rules of evidence, since the declaration is a compilation of data. Fed. R. Evid. 1006. Mr. Jerger refused. Mr. Jerger also has not offered any evidence of comparable awards to support the estimate, nor any evidence that Mr. Gorman's rate is reasonable for an attorney of his experience and at his size firm.

Plaintiff's counsel corresponded via email with Mr. Jerger regarding his declaration for attorneys' fees, but Mr. Jerger and plaintiff's counsel came to an impasse over whether plaintiff was entitled to more detailed information such as time records. Plaintiff believes that he is entitled to see more detailed evidence, or in the alternative, asks the Court to reduce in the fee award to an amount that the Court finds just. As a final note, once the Court issues its written ruling, plaintiff will seek a stay on the order to pay the fees to allow him to time to review the ruling and determine his next course of action.

DATED: September 7, 2006

By /s/
Victoria K. Hall, Esq. (SBN 240702)
LAW OFFICE OF VICTORIA K. HALL
401 N. Washington St. Suite 550
Rockville MD 20850

Telephone: 301-738-7677 Facsimile: 240-536-9142

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF