	Case 3:06-cv-01905-JSW	Document 188-12	2 Filed 12/11/2	007 Page 1 of 2		
1 2 3 4 5 6	VICTORIA K. HALL (SBN LAW OFFICE OF VICTOR 3 Bethesda Metro Suite 700 Bethesda MD 20814 Victoria@vkhall-law.com Telephone: 301-280-5925 Facsimile: 240-536-9142 Attorney for Plaintiff ROBERT JACOBSEN					
7 8		UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COUR	r		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
11	ROBERT JACOBSEN, an ir	ndividual,) No. C06-1905	-JSW		
12 13	V.	Plaintiff,) PLAINTIFF'] ORDER GRANTING S MOTION FOR AGAINST ROBERT SCOTT		
14 15 16	MATTHEW KATZER, an in KAMIND Associates, Inc., a corporation, dba KAM Indus	in Oregon) JERGER) Courtroom:) Judge:	2, 17th Floor Hon. Jeffrey S. White		
17 18 19	Having considered P		')) r Rule 11 Sanction	s and for Sanctions Under the		
20	Having considered Plaintiff's Motion for Rule 11 Sanctions and for Sanctions Under the Court's Inherent Power Against Robert Scott Jerger, and any opposition thereto, the Court					
21 22	GRANTS the motion.					
23				hat Jerger failed to investigate led Motion for Leave to File a		
24 25	Second Amended Complair	nt. He repeatedly 1	misrepresented the	facts, which were within his		
25 26	possession because he filed	sion because he filed the relevant papers and was present at the hearings. For the same				
26 27	reasons, the Court also finds	Jerger failed to inve	stigate the facts price	or to filing Defendants' Motion		
27 28	for Sanctions Against Vict	toria K. Hall. A	reasonable and co	mpetent inquiry would have		

-1-

uncovered the facts and the law, and shown that the Amended Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint was well-founded. The Court also finds Jerger made his filings for an improper purpose – to delay litigation, increase costs, and to interfere with Jacobsen's appellate briefing.

The Court also finds that Jerger has presented overruled case law and represented it as binding precedent. As Plaintiff correctly notes, this is not the first time. Thus, the Court sanctions Jerger under its inherent powers for failing to identify this case law as having been overruled.

The Court sanctions Jerger as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Jerger is to pay a fine of \$_____. This fine is to be paid to the Court.

Jerger is to pay Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs for bringing this motion, for replying to
Defendants' Opposition to the Amended Motion for Leave to File a Second Amended Complaint,
and for opposing Defendants' Motion for Sanctions. Plaintiff is to file his attorney fee and costs
petition within 10 days.

14		
15		
16	Dated:	Hon. Jeffrey S. White
17		District Court Judge
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-2-
	No. C06-1905-JSW	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AND SANCTIONS UNDER THE COURT'S INHERENT POWER AGAINST ROBERT SCOTT JERGER