	R. Scott Jerger (pro hac vice)			
1	Field & Jerger, LLP			
2	610 SW Alder Street, Suite 910			
	Portland, OR 97205			
3	Tel: (503) 228-9115			
	Fax: (503) 225-0276			
4	Email: scott@fieldjerger.com			
5	T. 1. G. G. (G. G.) B. (101515)			
	John C. Gorman (CA State Bar #91515)			
6	Gorman & Miller, P.C.			
7	210 N 4th Street, Suite 200			
7	San Jose, CA 95112			
8	Tel: (408) 297-2222 Fax: (408) 297-2224			
	Email: jgorman@gormanmiller.com			
9	Eman. jgorman@gormannmer.com			
10	Attorneys for Defendants			
10	Matthew Katzer and Kamind Associates, Inc.			
11	11200110 (1 2201201 0110 120111110 1200 01100 01)			
1.0	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
13				
14				
15	ROBERT JACOBSEN, an individual,)	Case Number C06-1905-JSW	
)		
16	Plaintiff,)		
1.7		{	DECLADATION OF D. COOTT	
17	vs.	j j	DECLARATION OF R. SCOTT JERGER IN SUPPORT OF	
18)	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR	
	MATTHEW KATZER, an individual, and)	SANCTIONS	
19	KAMIND ASSOCIATES, INC., an Oregon	\ \		
20	corporation dba KAM Industries,	Ś		
20	5.0)		
21	Defendants.)		
		<u> </u>		
22		,		
23	I, R. Scott Jerger, declare:			
	1 I P Scott Jerger am over the age of	f 18 and am	competent to testify and make these	
24	1. I, R. Scott Jerger, am over the age of 18 and am competent to testify and make these			
25	averments from my own knowledge and observations. I hereby state as follows:			
23	2. I am the attorney for Matt Katzer and KAMIND Associates, Inc.			
26	2. I am the attorney for Matt Katzer an	u KAMIND	Associates, inc.	

Case Number C 06 1905 JSW Declaration of R. Scott Jerger

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

3. On November 2, 2007, a served a copy of Defendants' Motion for Sanctions and a
draft copy of Defendants' legal memorandum in support of Defendants' Motion for Sanctions or
Victoria K. Hall via electronic mail to <u>Victoria@vkhall-law.com</u> and first class mail to Victoria
Hall, 3 Bethesda Metro Suite 700, Bethesda, MD, 20814.

- 4. At this time, my clients have incurred \$2,750.00 in attorney fees responding to Plaintiff's Amended Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint, and in the alternative, Motion for Final Judgment under Rule 54(b) as to Cybersquatting Cause of Action (the "amended motion"). I have included attorney fees incurring in reviewing the two versions of the second amended complaint submitted by Plaintiff, however I have cut these fees by 50%. I have included all attorney fees incurred reviewing and responding to the amended motion. I have also included all time spent discussing the briefing schedule on the amended motion with Plaintiff's counsel, addressing Plaintiff's scheduling motion [Dkt.#176] which is related to the amended motion, reviewing Plaintiff's counsel's ex parte letter to this Court, discussing the amended motion with my client and reviewing Plaintiff's reply.
- 5. At this time, my clients have incurred \$2,709.25 in attorney fees preparing Defendants' Motion for Sanctions. This includes all correspondence with opposing counsel urging opposing counsel to withdraw the second motion for reconsideration.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 28, 2007 in Portland, Oregon.

R. Scott Jerger

Case Number C 06 1905 JSW